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1. INTRODUCTION

This report will present a standardised methodology for the analysis of ‘solid waste’. It has been developed as part of the European Commission Fifth Framework, ‘Solid Waste Analysis Tool’ (SWA-Tool) project.

The SWA-Tool aims to provide a waste analysis methodology for use at a local and regional level. It is therefore necessary to determine the information needs of the areas under investigation, including monitoring and reporting requirements necessary for effective waste management at these levels. These local and regional needs, however, also operate within a wider framework of various regional, national, European Union or even international waste management requirements. The SWA-Tool methodology should take account of these wider requirements wherever possible in order to optimise synergy and relevance between the local and regional level and these wider levels.

The SWA-Tool does not aim to cover all municipal solid waste streams. It is therefore important to distinguish the scope of waste to be included as the parent population (whole quantity of waste in the survey area) within this methodology. In the framework of this project municipal solid waste may be waste, which is collected by or on behalf of a municipality, via pick-up systems and/or drop-off systems depending on the municipality and the country. Such waste may derive from households, commerce, and industry and from municipality activities such as street cleaning or maintaining green spaces. As the collections system may differ for various communities municipal solid waste does not necessarily include the same categories of materials everywhere.

The methodology describes an approach for the representative sampling of the ‘residual solid waste’\(^1\) fraction of that portion of municipal solid waste described as “daily household and commercial waste”\(^2\). It also includes an approach for the manual sorting and analysis of such waste to determine the following:

1. Waste Characterisation (composition)
2. Waste Quantification (amount produced) based on waste composition

The methodology will establish minimum standards, which a waste analysis should always meet such as: sorting procedures; sorting categories; definition of statistical accuracy and common reporting guidelines. This will enable the comparability of results between different waste analyses.

In addition, different waste management regimes may operate at a local or regional level. A key aspect of the SWA-Tool methodology is that it should be capable of adaptation to differing local circumstances. The methodology will therefore provide additional criteria beyond the minimum standards to enable the user to select the most appropriate analysis design in relation to their specific local objectives and circumstances.

---

\(^1\) Residual solid waste is mixed solid waste from households and includes similar commercial mixed solid waste, which is co-collected.

\(^2\) As defined by the European Environmental Agency (EEA) report “Household and municipal waste: Comparability of data in EEA member countries” (2000) [www.eea.eu.int](http://www.eea.eu.int)
Chapter 2 is concerned with the definition of relevant waste terminology, the scope of waste to be considered and the overall statistical standards for a waste analysis. In addition an important part of the SWA-Tool project is the development of a standard system of waste classification (Annex 1); at present there is no standard system of waste terminology and waste category classifications for use in a solid waste analysis within the European Union. Chapter 3 describes the methodology for waste characterisation and waste quantification.

Besides the “user version” there is also a “long version” of the methodology available on the websites mentioned on the cover sheet. In some points the user version refers to the long version.

2. DEFINITIONS

2.1 WASTE TERMINOLOGY AND SCOPE

The actual constitution of municipal waste varies between municipalities across the European Union. However, the fraction of municipal solid waste defined as "daily household and commercial waste" which forms the scope of waste to be covered by the SWA-Tool methodology includes:

- **Residual household waste** which may be described as mixed solid waste from households, which is collected, transported, and disposed of, either by the household, the municipality or by any other third party in any kind of containers and/ or plastic bags; and

- **Residual co-collected commercial waste** which may be described as mixed solid waste from commerce, which is co-collected, transported, and co-disposed of, either by the household, the municipality or by any other third party in any kind of containers and/ or plastic bags. The composition of daily residual commercial waste is similar to the composition of residual waste from households. But the amount and composition arises in spatial clusters and depending on the business sector.

Not included in this kind of definition are:

- Separately collected household and commercial material streams such as glass, paper, plastics;

- Separately collected municipal waste streams which may include small scale hazardous waste, electrical/electronic waste, street cleanings, garden/park waste;

- Any other waste stream, which is not produced from routine activity such as bulky waste.
2.2 STATISTICAL STANDARDS

As it is impossible to analyse the whole quantity of waste in a survey area (parent population), a sample (random sample) has to be taken. This sample has to be representative for the area of investigation and should describe the characteristics of the whole parent population. The consistency of household/commercial waste is varied i.e. heterogeneous consisting of many different components or categories. Furthermore the size of waste particles ranges from mm (fine elements) up to 1 m (e.g. parts of furniture). In order to obtain statistically acceptable results for such heterogeneous conditions it is necessary to analyse a suitable sample size.

A key objective of this methodology is to enable waste analyses to achieve results at or above a minimum statistical accuracy in a cost effective way. The minimum statistical standards demanded by the methodology are defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Results shall be expressed on a 95 % confidence level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of relative accuracy of the total result (weight of the sampling units) shall be below 10 % (maximum allowance for random sampling error for the total results)

The value of relative accuracy for the predominant categories (organic, paper and cardboard, plastic, glass, metal and fines) shall be below 20 % (maximum allowance for random sampling error)

A more detailed explanation of the statistical background to the above standards is contained in Annex 2: Statistical Background.
3. WASTE CHARACTERISATION

An essential component of a waste analysis involves waste characterisation or the determination of waste composition. There are four recommended stages to waste characterisation as follows:

1. Pre-Investigation
2. Analysis Design and Planning
3. Execution of Waste Analysis
4. Evaluation of Waste Analysis

3.1 PRE-INVESTIGATION

The pre-investigation stage is concerned with the provision of necessary background information for the municipality, county or country intending to undertake a waste analysis. This should form the basis of the subsequent waste analysis planning stage where appropriate. It also provides some of the background necessary for an effective evaluation of the outcomes of a waste analysis.

3.1.1 Background Information

**Recommendation 2**

It is **not** recommended to collect all below-mentioned data. Only data shall be collected which are important for the creation of a selection basis and which are needed to create the analysis design. This is very country specific and also might vary from case to case.

The following background information to a waste analysis is suggested:

1. General Description of the Area Under Investigation

A general overview of the area under investigation and the portion of the area to be involved in the waste analysis is recommended to provide a useful background context to the proposed study and assist in the planning stage. The following minimum information is suggested:

(i) Identification of the area or portion of the area to be assessed, its location and surface area;
(ii) Identification of the various relevant geo-political districts and the levels at which relevant waste management data may be available.
2. General Population Information and Waste Management Information

General population information and waste management information is necessary to create a selection basis from which the representative sample can be generated. Therefore it becomes necessary to evaluate the factors which could influence the composition of waste in order to know which data have to be collected.

The following data could be important to collect:

**General Population Information**

(i) Number of inhabitants  
(ii) Number of households  
(iii) Income (such as GNP per capita)  
(iv) Types and proportions of residential structures

**Waste Management Information**

(i) General description of the organisation of the waste management system (actors, responsibilities etc.)  
(ii) Type of waste streams produced and collected especially mixed residual household, and co-collected household and commercial waste  
(iii) Description of waste container systems in use such as household bins, communal bins and bin storage capacities  
(iv) Average numbers of households and/or persons using bins  
(v) Total bin volume; spatial distribution of bins; collection intervals  
(vi) Method of waste collection such as open truck or refuse collection vehicles compactor and types of waste collected  
(vii) Description of collection rounds  
(viii) Weighing data of collection vehicles  
(ix) Disposal methods such as landfill, energy from waste, reuse/recycling and the types of waste and quantities involved.

3.1.2 Stratification

Stratification is the statistical subdivision of the in-homogenous parent population (e.g. waste arising of an area) into (more) homogenous sub populations (non overlapping groups, e.g. waste from a certain residential structure), called strata.

The variation within strata is usually smaller than the overall population variance. This causes two effects:

- A statistical stratification increases the accuracy of results at a given sample size
- a statistical stratification allows to reduce the sample size to reach an aspired level of accuracy

In waste analyses the main objective of stratification usually is to obtain specific results for single sub populations (e.g. waste from areas with gardening, waste from multi storey buildings, waste from different districts etc.). Here it is
considered that specific parameters might have an influence on waste composition or waste amount. An essential prerequisite is that the number of sampling units for each stratum is adequate to obtain reliable results of a certain accuracy for each stratum.

A “waste management stratification” aims at accurate results for sub populations. Thus, the required number of sampling units has to be much larger than in case of a statistical stratification which usually aims at the improvement of accuracy of the total result of a waste analysis.

**Recommendation 3**

Generally, a stratification is not compulsory for a waste analysis program, but may have advantages for both, accuracy of results and additional waste management information.

**Potential Stratification Criteria**

A large number of factors may influence the composition or the amount of waste to be analysed and these may in turn vary in effect between municipalities; examples include:

- Residential structure
- Heating systems
- Seasonal variations
- Bin size
- Availability of civic amenity sites
- Holiday periods
- Type of collection system (separate collection)
- Levels of public education and awareness on waste issues
- Etc.

An important aspect of the SWA-Tool methodology is to provide the users with sufficient information to enable them to determine which if any stratification criteria should be incorporated in their waste analysis design. This will also depend on the purpose of the waste analysis and the waste management conditions within the area of investigation.

Within the SWA-Tool project, a statistical analysis of data from past waste analyses within several cities and areas in Europe has been undertaken. The results of this investigation show that in some cases the applied strata did not demonstrate any statistical significance with regard to their impact on waste composition. However, based on this evaluation a number of stratification criteria may indeed have a significant influence on waste composition and could therefore form a useful part of a municipal waste analysis program:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratification Criteria</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seasonality</td>
<td>Stratification according to seasons shall always be considered. Generally, a seasonal waste analysis should be done based on a minimum of three and ideally four, seasonal sorting campaigns. Since waste analysis results tend to be similar for spring and autumn, one of these two seasons may be left out.</td>
<td>Household and commercial waste may be subject to significant seasonal variations in quantity and composition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Structure</td>
<td>Generally, waste analysis stratification according to different residential structures and their locations can be recommended.</td>
<td>The following types of residential structures and locations have been demonstrated to act as significant stratification criteria:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural areas, Suburban areas, Inner city areas, Multiple dwellings, Multi storey buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bin Size</td>
<td>Generally, waste analysis stratification according to the following bin sizes can be recommended:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Bins up to 240 litres volume</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Bins above 240 litres volume</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection System</td>
<td>It has not been possible to provide definitive recommendations for potential stratification criteria based on different waste collection systems.</td>
<td>However it is reasonable to assume that there may be significant differences in waste composition between areas with and without separate collection of recyclables. Therefore, assuming it is possible to delineate those areas with and without separate collection of recyclables this may be a potentially useful stratification criterion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of Waste</td>
<td>Generally, stratification according to the source of waste as either household waste or commercial waste is recommended where possible.</td>
<td>In most cases, waste from areas with commercial activities is significantly different to waste from residential areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Household or Commercial Waste)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-economic Influences</td>
<td>It has not been possible to provide definitive recommendations for potential stratification criteria based on different socio-economic influences. However, these may be reflected within the criterion residential structure. A municipality may consider investigating these influences should sufficient planning information and resources be available.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection Day</td>
<td>Where a daily collection (excluding weekends) of all relevant waste is undertaken, it may be useful to compare the variation of waste amounts according to days of the week. In those cases where such waste data or operational information suggests a significant difference between waste composition/arising between different days of the week, it is recommended these days be used as stratification criteria.</td>
<td>In some cities/regions all the waste bins are collected every weekday. In these instances waste composition and amounts are often significantly different on Mondays, which tend to include weekend waste, compared to the remaining days of the week. Potential strata could be Monday waste (including weekend waste) and another weekday (waste representative for the rest of the week).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 ANALYSIS DESIGN AND PLANNING

3.2.1 Type of Sampling

The parent population for a waste analysis campaign is the whole quantity of residual household waste and/or residual co-collected commercial waste, which may be sampled from and subsequently analysed. This may encompass the whole area of a municipality or a defined part of a municipality although the former will generally be the case in order to obtain waste analysis results, which are representative of the whole area under investigation. A sample refers to a subset of the parent population and it is necessary to work with waste samples because it is not possible to analyse the whole population of waste for the area under investigation.

Recommendation 4

It is recommended that stratified random sampling should be used, where possible, as the basis for sample selection for a local or regional waste analysis program. Whatever strata are chosen it is crucial that the relevant sources of waste to be sampled from, such as the waste bins, are capable of being attributed to, and sampled according to, the chosen strata.

For the theoretical background refer to “Methodology for the Analysis of Solid Waste (SWA-Tool) – Long Version”.

3.2.2 Number and Type of Strata

Generally, a stratification is not compulsory for a waste analysis program, but may have advantages for both accuracy of results and additional waste management information (refer to Chapter 3.1.2).

Ultimately the decision concerning the number and type of strata to use in a waste analysis depends on several factors including the waste management information needs of the municipality, the availability of adequate waste planning data and sufficient resources.

Recommendation 5

It is recommended that not more than 5 relevant strata shall be used. The use of more than 5 strata would result in an excessive number of necessary samples (larger sample size) in order to achieve the required accuracy of results for each stratum.
Examples of the SWA-Tool Project

An integral part of the SWA-Tool project has involved a demonstration phase where the draft waste analysis methodology has been implemented in five of the project’s Partner Cities. Each Partner City has undertaken a waste analysis program, which was designed according to the draft methodology and the results of the evaluation of the stratification criteria whilst remaining appropriate to their local waste management circumstances. The number of waste analyses carried out and the stratification criteria used, varied between each of the cities. Details of each City’s waste analysis program are shown in Table 2 overleaf.

Table 2 Details of Partner Cities Waste Analysis Stratification Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner City</th>
<th>Chosen Stratification Criteria</th>
<th>Seasonal Campaigns Undertaken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Bilbao       | **Source of Waste** as represented by type of district:  
  • Residential  
  • Commercial/residential  
  **Day of Week:**  
  • Weekends (incl. Bank holidays)  
  • Working Days | Summer  
  Winter |
| Brasov       | **Socio-Economic Status** of Residents as represented by:  
  Residential Structure Type:  
  • Single Dwellings  
  • Multi-storey  
  **Bin Size** Single Dwellings:  
  • 120 litres  
  • 240 litres  
  **Bin Size** Multi-Storey:  
  • 240 litres  
  • 1100 litres | Spring  
  Summer |
| Brescia      | **Socio-economic Status** of Residents as represented by  
  District Type:  
  • Household Areas  
  • Mixed Areas  
  • Commercial Areas  
  **Collection Weekday:**  
  • Mondays  
  • Rest of Week | Summer  
  Winter  
  Spring |
| Krakow       | **Socio-Economic Status** of Residents as represented by:  
  Residential Structure Type:  
  • One family houses  
  • Multi-storey  
  **Collection Weekday:**  
  • After Weekend (including waste of the weekend)  
  • Before of Weekend | Spring  
  Summer |
| Newcastle    | **Socio-Economic Status** of Residents as represented by  
  Local Authority Grading of Property Values. (Council Tax Bands):  
  • Council Tax Band A and B (lowest value properties)  
  • Council Tax Band “Others” (higher value properties combined) | Summer  
  Winter  
  Spring |
In practical terms it will be useful to set up a **stratification matrix** at the initial planning stage. This matrix will show if the necessary data and information for a stratification are available. As an example the table below shows the distribution of inhabitants depending on three residential structures and two groups of bin size.

### Table 3  Distribution of Inhabitants According to Stratification Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratification Criteria</th>
<th>A Waste Bins &lt;240 l</th>
<th>B Waste Bins &gt;240 l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Suburban Areas</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Inner-City Areas</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Multi-Storey Buildings</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>40%</strong></td>
<td><strong>60%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The example shows that two potential strata are of low importance (1B and 3A) and could be left out without influencing the result of the survey. Furthermore the distribution according to strata is important to weigh the individual stratum and to bring together the results of the strata (see page 26, Chapter 3.4.2).

### 3.2.3 Level of Sampling

The level of sampling is concerned with the position along the waste management process at which waste samples are taken for subsequent analysis. There are three principal levels at which sampling may take place, namely:

1. Inside the household/business such as from an internal waste bin
2. Outside the household/business such as from an external waste bin/container such as used in kerbside collection
3. A refuse collection vehicle (RCV)

### Recommendation 6

The recommended level of sampling of waste is the **external waste bin/container outside the households or business properties**.

A number of criteria have been applied in determining the most appropriate level at which to recommend sample selection. Primarily the sample level must enable the fulfilment of the statistical requirements as outlined earlier in Section 2.3. It should also allow the correlation and evaluation of household level stratification criteria such as residential structure and type of collection system with waste analysis results. Lastly the sample level should not compromise the process of
manual sorting and analysis of waste such that it becomes hard to identify waste composition. Sampling of waste at the highest level, i.e. closest to the point of waste generation, occurs inside the house or business. This level of sampling does fulfill the necessary criteria, however there are two main disadvantages. The first relates to the practical difficulties, which would be encountered in accessing internal waste bins. In addition the actual composition of the waste sample could be unusually affected due to a change in waste generation behaviour by the household/business who would need to be informed of the planned occurrence and timing of the waste analysis.

Sampling of waste at the lowest level would occur from the refuse collection vehicle. Waste within an RCV consists of mixed household waste, preventing the correlation of waste analysis results with the influencing criteria of individual households/businesses. The process of mixing and usually compaction, results in the homogenisation of waste, decreased particle sizes and makes the visual identification of waste composition more difficult and time consuming. This can also increase the costs of the sorting and analysis stage. In addition it is necessary to obtain smaller sub-samples from the whole RCV load, for sorting and analysis. This procedure, such as by “coning and quartering”, increases the extent of statistical sampling errors and prevents the achievement of the required statistical standards (Section 2.3). Sampling from the level of collection vehicle does not therefore meet any of the necessary criteria as stated above.

3.2.4 Type of Sampling Unit

Sampling units are the smallest sub groups of the parent population which are separately selected, collected, sorted and analysed, and for which separate analysis results are produced. There are three main sampling units that could be used to obtain the necessary waste samples for analysis, namely:

1. A specific waste bin volume such as 240 litres (l) or 1100 l; or
2. A specific weight of household/commercial waste such as 100 kilograms (kg); or
3. A specific number of persons who generate relevant waste such as 30 persons.

Recommendation 7

The recommended type of sampling unit should be based on the volume of the waste bin. (Please note this does not mean the volume of the waste contained within the bin.)

The use of waste bin/container volume as the sampling unit avoids disadvantages as described in “Methodology for the Analysis of Solid Waste (SWA-Tool) – Long Version”.

Methodology for the Analysis of Solid Waste (SWA-Tool)
There is often a variation in the volume of waste bins/containers used by a municipality with the most commonly used volumes being 120 litres (l), 240 l, 1100 l, and 2400 l. The choice of the sampling unit will usually depend on the bin type which is most commonly used in the waste analysis study area, however where there is a choice available to a municipality the following should be noted:

- The smaller the volume of the sampling unit the greater the statistical accuracy of results (relative accuracy at a given sample size of bin volume); and

- The smaller the volume of the sampling unit the greater the time required for sorting and analysis for the equivalent sample size. This may be a consideration for those undertaking the actual analysis especially where this involves an external contractor.

**Recommendation 8**

The sampling units have to be of similar size.

The recommended sampling unit used for a waste analysis should be the lowest common denominator of bin size from the following: 120 l; 240 l; 360 l; 660 l; 1100 l; 2400; and 3600. Bins sampled for analysis, which are less than 120 l volume, should be aggregated to one of these sample sizes.

**Example:** Bins used are 120 l and 240 l. Sampling unit should be 240 l. Where 120 l bins are sampled then two of these bins should be aggregated to form one unit (240 l)

During the demonstration stage of the project the Partner Cities used a variety of sampling units. Table 4 shows the different sampling units used, the total sample size taken, and the estimated time for analysis.

**Table 4  Sampling Units Used in Demonstration Phase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner City</th>
<th>Sampling Unit</th>
<th>Total Sample Size per Campaign [m³]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brasov</td>
<td>1m³ (equivalent to the respective number of bins from 120 l, 240 l, 1100 l)</td>
<td>45 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brescia</td>
<td>One 3200 litre container or one 2400 litre container</td>
<td>240 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krakow</td>
<td>1m³ (equivalent to the respective number of bins from 120 l, 240 l, 1100 l)</td>
<td>45 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newcastle</td>
<td>One 240 litre bin (or the respective number of 120 litre bins)</td>
<td>57 m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2.5 Calculation of the Number of Sampling Units and Sample Size

Calculation of Overall Number of Sampling Units

The total number of sampling units required depends on 2 main criteria:

1. The variation (heterogeneity) of the waste, expressed by the natural variation coefficient. This variation coefficient is usually unknown and has to be estimated on the basis of results from past waste analyses.

2. The desired accuracy of the results.

The required total number of sampling units for a waste analysis campaign can be easily estimated by using Table 5 below. It shows the necessary number of sampling units for different natural variation coefficients and different levels of relative accuracy of results (maximum random sampling error).

Usually the natural variation coefficient of the analysed waste is not known and has to be derived from empirical values from past waste analyses.

Applying this variation coefficient to the first column of Table 5 and reading across to the required level of accuracy (refer to Recommendation 1); 10% maximum random sampling error and 95 % confidence level, the necessary number of sampling units can be looked up. These values were calculated using equation 7 (Annex 2).

Table 5 Calculation Necessary Number of Sampling Units (95 % Confidence Level)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>natural variation coefficient</th>
<th>necessary number of sampling units n (95 % confidence level)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Gauge for variation in parent population)</td>
<td>with maximum allowance for random sampling error:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35%</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45%</td>
<td>1245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55%</td>
<td>1859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>2213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>3012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>3934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>4979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>6147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120%</td>
<td>8851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140%</td>
<td>12047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160%</td>
<td>15735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200%</td>
<td>24586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Recommendation 9**

If the variation coefficient of the analysed waste is known (e.g. from former waste analyses in the same area) it should be used to calculate the required number of sampling units by using Table 5.

---

**Recommendation 10**

If the variation coefficient of the analysed waste is unknown the following sample sizes for the different types of waste are recommended (regardless of the size of the chosen sampling unit):

- **household waste**: 45 m³
- **mixture household/commercial waste**: 80 m³
- **commercial waste**: 100 m³

These values are based on experiences of former waste analyses and of the results of the SWA Tool project.

If the required accuracy is not reached with these values the sample size should be adjusted for the next seasonal campaign to achieve the required level of accuracy.

---

**Table 6   Examples Calculation of Sample Size from the SWA-Tool Project**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campaign no.</th>
<th>Size of Sampling Unit [l]</th>
<th>VarCoeff xi (Sample)</th>
<th>Necessary Number of Sampling Units n</th>
<th>Applied Number of Sampling Units n</th>
<th>Necessary Sample Size</th>
<th>Applied Sample Size</th>
<th>Difference [m³]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brescia</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracow</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brasov</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newcastle</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number of Sampling Units for Individual Strata

A certain amount (sample) of waste has to be analysed in order to obtain reliable results for a single stratum. The necessary number of sampling units depends on the variation of the waste (of the stratum) and the desired accuracy of the results. The frame conditions are thus identical with those for the investigation of the parent population, i.e. if the relative accuracy of +/- 10% shall be achieved as the total result of a stratum, the same number of sampling units has to be investigated as in case of parent populations.

In practical terms, strata are usually analysed as a part of a total waste analysis and as such, with smaller sample sizes. In this case the results show a lower accuracy. If the sample size for strata goes beyond a certain level, results will probably be biased and are not representative.

Recommendation 11

The number of sampling units of one stratum per campaign shall exceed 6 and the sample size shall not be less than 6 m³ (bin volume) for household waste.

For commercial waste the number of sampling units of one stratum shall be 15 but the sample size shall not be less than 15 m³ (bin volume).

These are values of experiences.

Warning: Waste analysis results which are based on a sample size of only 6 m³ are afflicted with considerable uncertainties and shall not be over-interpreted.
3.2.6 **Generation of Random Sample Plan**

The generation of an appropriate random sample plan according to the analysis design is necessary to ensure the validity of waste analysis results and their subsequent evaluation. The sample plan also forms the basis of the collection addresses for the relevant waste samples.

A pre-requisite for the generation of a sample plan is the availability of a selection basis for the parent population of the waste analysis e.g. the addresses of all relevant waste containers. Ideally, this should be in electronic database or spreadsheet format to enable the easy manipulation and extraction of relevant address details.

According to the analysis design it is necessary to randomly sample addresses either from the whole parent population or from the relevant sub-populations according to the designated stratification criteria (stratified random sampling).

**Recommendation 12**

It is recommended that the generation of a random sample plan should also include the generation of a back-up set of random sample addresses. This additional random sample plan should be used to replace those primary addresses when the collection personnel determine it is not operationally possible to identify and collect the appropriate waste sample for analysis.

**Example:** Multistage stratified random selection

A suitable process for generating a representative waste sample is the multistage stratified random selection where several sampling operations are performed in succession. In order to achieve a multistage stratified random selection the entire survey area is initially arranged into strata. The attribution to particular strata is made on the basis of relevant background information and the pre-investigation survey for the area under study. At the level of the municipality information relating to waste management and socio-economic structure will be available to assist in the choice of relevant strata. The next stage involves the random selection of relevant sub units of statistic areas such as street blocks or enumeration districts. The final stage involves the random selection of waste bins from street blocks or enumeration districts. In order to ensure representative sampling there must be an equal probability of selection at each stage of the process. This multistage approach ensures samples are selected randomly as part of a waste analysis study. Furthermore it simplifies the sampling procedure as detailed planning and data processing are only necessary for the lower stages of the selection procedure.

**Note:** Where it is necessary to select waste samples from residential blocks of flats it is acceptable to use waste bin registers or records for the selection of suitable sample waste bins without compromising the required level of accuracy.
The sampling plan for the multi stage stratified random selection is illustrated in Figure 1.

**Figure 1** Schematic Example of a Multistage Stratified Random Sampling Process

---

**Multi Stage Random Selection**

- **n statistical areas (in total):** $U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, \ldots, U_n$

**Analysis of clusters**

- Grouping the statistical areas according to strata (3 - 5), e.g. industrial cities, other cities, countryside districts etc.

1. **1st stage:** random selection of statistical areas from each stratum
   - $U_1, U_2, U_3, \ldots, U_{n_1}$
   - $U_2, U_3, \ldots, U_{n_2}$
   - $\ldots$
   - $U_{n_1}, U_{n_2}, \ldots, U_{n_n}$

2. **2nd stage:** random selection of sub-areas such as houseblocks
   - $U_{11}, U_{12}, U_{13}, \ldots, U_{1m}$
   - $U_{21}, U_{22}, U_{23}, \ldots, U_{2m}$
   - $\ldots$
   - $U_{n_11}, U_{n_12}, U_{n_13}, \ldots, U_{n_1m}$

3. **Random selection of sub-areas**

- Detection of the necessary parameter/data for each sub-area to select random samples with equal probabilities

- **3rd stage:** random selection of bins

- **Sample unit:** 1.100 l

---
3.2.7 Duration of an Individual Waste Analysis Campaign

**Recommendation 13**

Where the normal municipal waste collection for the relevant parent population is repeated on a daily or weekly basis it is recommended that the **duration for waste sampling and sample collection covers a minimum of one week's waste**. This will allow the sampling of waste to be spread over each working day (Monday to Friday) covering the full collection cycle and any potential variation due to non-collection of waste at a weekend.

**Recommendation 14**

Where the normal municipal waste collection for the relevant parent population is repeated on a bi-weekly (fortnightly) basis it is recommended that the duration for waste sampling and sample collection cover a minimum of two weeks waste i.e. the full collection cycle.

3.3 EXECUTION OF WASTE ANALYSIS

3.3.1 Collection of Samples

**Recommendation 15**

The collection team should collect the sampling units from the predetermined properties by emptying or exchanging the selected container on the day of the regular collection interval. Ideally this should be done without informing the property holder responsible for the production of the waste to avoid unduly influencing its composition.

*NOTE: to avoid cluster effects, do not take more than one sampling unit per sampling address.*
**Recommendation 16**

Each sample collected should be tagged with a unique identification reference code, capable of use in wet conditions. The following minimum data should be collated and recorded for each individual sample by the waste sample collection team at the time of collection:

(i) Unique identification reference code  
(ii) Sample address  
(iii) Date of collection  
(iv) Number and type of waste containers collected  
(v) Visual estimation of % filling level of waste containers collected  
(vi) Visual estimation of % filling level of other containers at one address to get the information for calculating the waste quantity

Further information, which may be useful for the evaluation of results if easily available concerns the number of persons responsible for waste production at the sample address and the collection interval. Where this information is not available general statistical information for a municipality concerning the average number of persons per property may be available. This information could then be useful to provide an indication of per capita waste statistics in addition to per household waste statistics.

It is important that each individual waste sample collected is not mixed with any other waste samples during collection, transportation and subsequent analysis. Once a full load of samples has been collected they should then be transported to the appropriate facilities for sorting and analysis.

An outline example of the collection process is shown in Figure 2. The sampling level is the external waste bin/container outside the households. In this case the sampling unit is 1 m³, here represented by 4 x 240 l bins.

**Figure 2 Example of Sampling (Sampling Unit is 1 m³)**

![Sampling Diagram]

Data acquired during sampling:
- Address  
- Numbers of bins and volume of bins  
- Level of filling  
- Collection interval
3.3.2 Sorting and Analysis of Samples

Each sampling unit is weighed and the weight is documented. Each sampling unit has to be sorted separately. The sampling unit is sorted into the categories according to a developed Sorting Catalogue (see Annex 1).

The Sorting Catalogue contains 13 compulsory primary categories and 35 recommended secondary waste categories. To further assist sorting and analysis the catalogue also provides indicative examples for each secondary category for a wide range of items commonly encountered in the municipal waste stream as a guide to their appropriate classification. In addition, it is also possible to designate further tertiary or third level categories that may provide additional waste composition details according to their local waste information requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation 17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 A waste analysis record sheet (paper copy) is set up for each sampling unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 The unique identification code attached to each sample is recorded against the waste analysis record to be completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 The percentage-filling ratio of the waste sample container (bin) is recorded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 The sampling unit is weighed to an accuracy of +/- 0.1 kilograms (kg) and the weight recorded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 In order to reduce the sorting effort, the sampling units can be separated into two initial fractions; above 40mm and below 40mm, by screening with a 40mm mesh screen (tromel). Alternatively the waste can be sorted directly on a 40 mm screen table. This step is an aid for the sorting team but not compulsory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 The above 40mm fraction is sorted into one of 12 compulsory primary waste categories excluding the ‘Fines’ category as specified by the SWA-Tool Sorting Catalogue (Annex 1). The weight of each category is recorded for the sampling unit to an accuracy of +/- 0.1 kg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 The ‘below 40mm’ fraction is further screened with a 10mm mesh screen into two fractions; ‘below 10mm’fraction and ‘a 10-40mm’ fraction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 The ‘below10’mm fraction is weighed to an accuracy of +/- 0.1 kg and this weight recorded as the primary category ‘Fines’ according to the SWA-Tool Sorting Catalogue (Annex 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 The ‘10-40mm’ fraction is weighed, too. By coning and quartering a representative sub sample is generated and sorted according to the recommended primary waste categories specified in the Sorting Catalogue (Annex 1). The observed composition of the sub-sample is then applied to the total weight of the 10-40 mm fraction. The resulting weights are recorded and allocated to the corresponding primary waste categories.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3  Sorting Procedure

Recommendation 18

It is recommended that the determination of the optimum number of sorting team personnel according to local circumstances, should be based on a waste sorting rate of 6 man-hours per 100 kilograms of waste (refer to Annex 4).
3.3.3 Important Points of Clarification for Sorting and Classification

It is important to note that the application of any of these points of clarification must not supersede relevant Health and Safety Regulations and Guidelines.

Table 7 Special Cases during the Sorting Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Packaging Items with Contents</td>
<td>Packaging with contents where the content of a packaging item is suspected to weigh more than the packaging itself</td>
<td>The liquid content and the packaging shall be classified separately to the specific categories of the Sorting Catalogue.</td>
<td>filled bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction &lt; 10 mm in bags such as vacuum bags, house sweepings, litter for pets etc.</td>
<td>The contents of such bags are often easy to classify as fines and the weight of the bag forms a relatively minor part of the waste stream.</td>
<td>Therefore, these bags shall be classified with their content directly to the fraction &lt; 10mm. These bags shall not be emptied, also for hygienic reasons.</td>
<td>vacuum bags, house sweepings, litter for pets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composites or combined packaging where the compounds can be separated easily</td>
<td>The recommended classification of these items depends on whether they are of significant size or not (greater or smaller than a packet of cigarettes).</td>
<td>Composites or combined packaging where the compounds can be separated easily and which • have a bigger size than a packet of cigarettes: the compounds shall be classified to the specific categories. • have a smaller size than a packet of cigarettes: the compounds shall be classified to the prevailing category.</td>
<td>packets of cigarettes, bottles with cap, yogurt pots with alu lids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items consisting mainly of pure categories and only small parts (&lt; 20% of weight) of other categories</td>
<td>The separation of the different materials would be possible but with substantial effort of the sorting staff.</td>
<td>Due to the easy classification and the small error occurring within the sorting analysis these items are classified according to the category of its main component.</td>
<td>handle bar (with handles of plastic), hole puncher, ring binder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids in waste bins</td>
<td>These liquids are produced in waste bins during the degradation of the biological fraction. Usually these liquids rest on the bottom of the waste bin and shall be collected when the waste bin is emptied.</td>
<td>Due to the easy classification and the small error occurring within the sorting analysis these liquids shall be classified separately. They can be classified to the primary category “Organic”.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.4 Health and Safety

Note: The Health and Safety Recommendations contained in this methodology DO NOT replace statutory Health and Safety rules in the respective countries for municipalities, but are intended as added guidance only (refer to Annex 3).

---

3 Refer to: LANDESUMWELTAMT BRANDENBURG (1998): Richtlinie für die Durchführung von Untersuchungen zur Bestimmung der Menge und Zusammensetzung fester Siedlungsabfälle im Land Brandenburg, Teil 1, Fachbeiträge des Landesumweltamtes Nr. 34, Potsdam
3.4 EVALUATION OF WASTE ANALYSIS

3.4.1 Evaluation of Raw Data

The basis for the evaluation are the basic weight results of the sorting procedure (waste composition in kilograms) for each sampling unit.

Recommendation 19

The basic weight results shall be transferred from the record sheet (paper copy) to the Excel sheet (Annex 5). This Excel template will automatically calculate the waste composition and the required statistical data.

3.4.2 Quality Assurance

The following statistical values have to be calculated for each waste category, each campaign and for the total result:

(i) Mean
(ii) Median
(iii) Standard deviation
(iv) Variation coefficient
(v) Confidence coefficient (refers to tables of t-distribution, Annex 2)
(vi) Relative confidence interval (%)
(vii) Confidence interval (kg)
(viii) Composition (%)

Note: The statistical parameters are calculated on the basis of kg and should not be converted into percentages.

It is necessary to review the statistical results of each individual waste analysis campaign and for the overall waste analysis campaign to determine whether the desired statistical requirements of the SWA-Tool methodology (refer to Section 2.3).

In case a stratification has been applied, the results of the single strata have to be aggregated to obtain the total sample result. The total sample result has to be calculated as the weighted mean of the single stratum results.
Recommendation 20

The result of each stratum has to be weighted (example see stratification matrix, page 13) and put in the right relation. The total result is the weighted mean of the single stratum results (see Annex 2, equation 8)

For the calculation of errors of the total sample result of each campaign formula 10 (Annex 2) has to be used.

3.4.3 Extrapolation

The extrapolation comprises the conclusion from the obtained sample results to the parent population. Two cases may be distinguished:

Case 1:

The investigated waste type of an area (e.g. daily household and commercial waste) is permanently weighed. Thus, the total waste amount is known. The total sample result (waste composition) can be apportioned to the total waste quantity (parent population).

Case 2:

The total amount of the investigated waste type is unknown. This is the case if only household waste is subject of the waste analysis, but is not weighed separately (only the mixture of household and commercial waste is weighed). Hence, an extrapolation of the sample results to the parent population (here: household waste of an area) is necessary. The following recommendations should be considered.

The waste quantity can be extrapolated by using the following data as a reference value:

- number of sampling units, or
- number of inhabitants, or
- number of households.

Recommendation 21

The total arisings of e.g. household residual waste can be calculated by multiplication of the total sample mean by the total number of sampling units (parent population).
Recommendation 22

(i) In case of a stratification, the total arisings of e.g. household waste for a stratum should be calculated by multiplication of the stratum sample mean by the total number of sampling units within the stratum.

(ii) To obtain the total waste amount, the results of the strata have to be added (please refer to the example below). The confidence interval for a stratified sample can be calculated by using equation 10 and 11 (Annex 2).

(iii) In case of a stratification, the total arisings of e.g. household waste the total waste amount can alternatively be calculated by multiplication of the weighted mean of the individual sample means by the total number of sampling units for the area under investigation.

Example Newcastle, UK

1 Sampling unit = 240 litres
Number of strata: 2

Number of sampling units within Stratum A = 30,000
Number of sampling units within Stratum B = 60,000

Stratum A: mean household waste arisings per week = 16 kg +/- 10%

Total waste arisings per week = (16 kg x 30,000) +/- 10%
                        = 480 tonnes +/- 48 tonnes

Stratum B: mean household waste arisings per week = 18 kg +/- 8%

Total waste arisings per week = (18 kg x 60,000) +/- 8%
                        = 1,080 tonnes +/- 86.4 tonnes

Addition of the results of the single strata = 1,560 tonnes

The total confidence interval has to be calculated using equation 10 and 11 (Annex 2).

Recommendation 23

Where a seasonal analysis involving less than four seasonal campaigns has been undertaken it may also be necessary to adjust the extrapolation of results to account for the missing seasonal investigation(s).
3.4.4 **Presentation of Results**

The format for the presentation of results is an important aspect of the waste analysis methodology and will affect the comparability of waste analysis results between different waste analyses. The fundamental aim of the SWA-Tool methodology is to improve the accuracy and comparability of municipal waste management statistics and the format of presentation can assist in optimising this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation 24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It is recommended to report and present the following data:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Raw Data (example see Annex 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw data should be presented and reported as an MS Excel table according to the format shown in Annex 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Statistical Calculations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical calculations should be presented and reported as an MS Excel table according to the format shown in Table 8. The formulas for the calculation of the statistical parameters are included in this Excel template (Annex 5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The relative confidence interval has to be calculated and represented as result. Very often the absolute confidence interval is shown which depends on the corresponding mean. Therefore, it is not possible to compare results of different waste analyses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Evaluation of single results of strata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The evaluation of single results of each stratum should be presented and reported as a table.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Extrapolation of the overall results and of the waste quantification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The extrapolation of results should be reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Graphical presentation of results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The mean waste amounts of the primary waste composition categories where calculated, should also be presented graphically as MS Excel Graphs in a similar format to that in Figure 4 and Figure 5 overleaf.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 8  Example for the Presentation of Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>% weight</th>
<th>kg/cap., week</th>
<th>Total Amount (kg/week)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Variation Coefficient (sample)</th>
<th>Variation Coefficient (estimator)</th>
<th>Dev. from the Mean in Lower Limit Upper Limit</th>
<th>% weight</th>
<th>kg/week</th>
<th>% weight</th>
<th>kg/week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>34,1</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>3,168,2</td>
<td>88,0</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>2,932,5 3,403,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>7,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>92,5</td>
<td>728,9</td>
<td>31,4</td>
<td>61,9</td>
<td>35,9 148,3</td>
<td>31,4</td>
<td>61,9</td>
<td>31,4</td>
<td>61,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper and Cardboard</td>
<td>22,1</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>2,050,4</td>
<td>88,0</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>1,898,0 2,202,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>7,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>896,9</td>
<td>73,9</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>840,9 992,5</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>6,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>654,7</td>
<td>136,1</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>579,4 730,2</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>11,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>289,8</td>
<td>262,9</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>22,2</td>
<td>225,3 354,1</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>22,2</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>22,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>467,4</td>
<td>107,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>419,3 500,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>9,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Waste</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>45,9</td>
<td>508,1</td>
<td>21,9</td>
<td>42,6</td>
<td>26,1 62,2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Products</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>464,2</td>
<td>419,7</td>
<td>18,1</td>
<td>35,9</td>
<td>299,5 628,9</td>
<td>18,1</td>
<td>35,9</td>
<td>18,1</td>
<td>35,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>767,9</td>
<td>472,9</td>
<td>20,4</td>
<td>39,9</td>
<td>421,9 982,3</td>
<td>20,4</td>
<td>39,9</td>
<td>20,4</td>
<td>39,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Categories</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>384,3</td>
<td>245,7</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>20,8</td>
<td>304,5 464,1</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>20,8</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>20,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines &lt; 10 mm</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>91,6</td>
<td>419,1</td>
<td>18,1</td>
<td>35,4</td>
<td>59,1 124,3</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>17,1</td>
<td>9,300,2</td>
<td>63,7</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>8,799,8 9,800,7</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>5,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 4  Example for the Graphical Presentation of Results

![Graphical Presentation of Results](image)
Figure 5  Example Comparison of Seasonal Primary Waste Composition

![Bar Chart showing waste composition by category for different months]

- **Waste Composition %**
- **Categories**:
  - Organic
  - Wood
  - Paper & Card
  - Plastic
  - Glass
  - Textiles
  - Metals
  - Hazardous Waste
  - Complex
  - Inert
  - Other
  - Fines

- **Months**:
  - September Combined
  - January Combined
  - June Combined

The chart illustrates the seasonal variation in waste composition, highlighting the differences in proportions of different waste categories across the months.
## ANNEX I SORTING CATALOGUE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Categories</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Secondary Categories</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Typical Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>OR1</td>
<td>Biodegradable Kitchen/Canteen Waste</td>
<td>OR1 01</td>
<td>All biodegradable waste originating in domestic kitchen or commercial/industrial canteen</td>
<td>Bread; Coffee grinds; Cooked or Uncooked food items; Food leftovers; Fruit and vegetables; Meat and fish; Pet foods; Tea bags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Biodegradable Garden/Park Waste</td>
<td>OR1 02</td>
<td>All biodegradable waste originating in a domestic garden or municipal park, garden, or landscaping feature</td>
<td>Flowers; Fruit and vegetable garden waste; Grass Cuttings; Hedge trimmings; Leaves; Pruning; Tree branches; Weeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other Biodegradable Waste</td>
<td>OR1 03</td>
<td>All biodegradable waste not applicable to either of the above categories</td>
<td>Animal remains; Bones Faeces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>W2</td>
<td>Untreated Wood</td>
<td>W2 01</td>
<td>All wood/cork items without paint, varnish, preservative, sealant etc</td>
<td>Bottle corks, Cork packaging, Untreated Pallets Solid timber and timber fragments untreated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treated Wood</td>
<td>W2 02</td>
<td>All wood/cork items with paint, varnish, preservative, sealant etc</td>
<td>Particle board (e.g. chipboard, plywood, mdf) Solid timber and timber fragments, treated Wood fencing - treated; Wood from DIY - treated Wood furniture – treated; Wood kitchen units - treated Wood work tops - treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper and Cardboard</td>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>High gloss paper/card and wallpapers</td>
<td>PC3 01</td>
<td>Non-biodegradable paper</td>
<td>Glossy brochures e.g. travel brochures; shop catalogues Glossy magazines e.g. Cosmopolitan, Elle High gloss papers e.g. photographic papers Waste wallpapers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paper/card – packaging</td>
<td>PC3 02</td>
<td>All non-glossy paper card packaging</td>
<td>Cereal packets; Cleaning product cartons; Corrugated packaging cardboard (bulk and individual); Fast Food Paper bags/wrapping; Noodle and egg boxes; Other food/pet food/ non-food container packaging; Paper bags; Tissue boxes; Toy boxes; Washing powder boxes; Waxed card liquid cartons; Wrapping paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Newspapers</td>
<td>PC3 03</td>
<td>Loose and stapled newsprint</td>
<td>Local and national newspapers (paid and free) Newsprint-type advertising publications; Other newsprint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other Paper/card – non packaging</td>
<td>PC3 04</td>
<td>All paper card otherwise not mentioned</td>
<td>Birthday type cards; Books; Computer printouts; Diaries; Envelopes; Files and folders; Invoices; Kitchen roll; Letters; Loose leaf paper; Non glossy brochures and catalogues; Non-glossy junk mail; Office paper; Photocopies; Posters; Telephone directories; Tickets; Tissue paper; Toilet papers; Writing paper; Yellow pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Categories</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Secondary Categories</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Typical Examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plastics</strong></td>
<td>PL4</td>
<td>Plastic Film – packaging</td>
<td>PL4 01</td>
<td>All packaging bags and refuse sacks</td>
<td>Biscuit wrappers; Cereal packets (inside box); Cling film; Compost/peat bags; Crisp packets; Frozen food bags; Packaging plastic film; Plastic food bags/pet food/non food bags; Sandwich bags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plastic Film – non packaging</td>
<td>PL4 02</td>
<td>All non packaging bags and refuse sacks</td>
<td>Cellotape; Garden sheets; Non-packaging film; Plastic bags; Refuse sacks; Shopping bags; Tarpaulins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dense Plastic Bottles/Jars (P)</td>
<td>PL4 03</td>
<td>All clear and coloured plastic bottles and jars</td>
<td>All plastic bottles/jars e.g.; Alcoholic drinks; Bleaches; Detergents; Household/pet/garden products; Laundry liquid; Milk; Oil; Soft drinks; Vinegar; Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dense Plastic – other packaging</td>
<td>PL4 04</td>
<td>All other clear and coloured plastic packaging except bottles and jars</td>
<td>Appliance packaging; Cleaning tubes; Cosmetic tubes; Egg boxes; Food cartons; Food packing trays; Food tubes; Ice cream cartons; Margarine tubes; Plastic lids; Ready meal trays; Roll on deodorant bottles; Trays; Yoghurt cartons; Bottle tops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dense Plastic – non packaging</td>
<td>PL4 05</td>
<td>All non-packaging dense plastic items</td>
<td>Air freshener holders; Bank/credit cards; Buttons; CDs; music cassettes; Cosmetic/glue/paint applicators; Disposable razor blades; Floor Linoleum (Lino); Floor Tiles (vinyl/plastic); Garden hoses; Gardening equipment; Hard plastic; Household/car/garden accessories; Lighters; LPs; Pens; Plant pots; Plastic curtain rails; Plastic frames; Plastic sunglasses; Plastic toys; Rulers; Seed trays; Shoes (Plastic only); Toilet lids; Toothpastes; Tubes/pumps; Video cassettes; Washing up bowls/racks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glass</strong></td>
<td>G5</td>
<td>Glass Container Packaging Clear</td>
<td>G5 01</td>
<td>All clear glass bottles and jars</td>
<td>Alcoholic and non-alcoholic drinks bottles/jars (e.g. beer, cider, milk, water, wine) Food jars (e.g. baby foods, coffee, jams, pickles, sauces) Medicine bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glass Container Packaging Brown</td>
<td>G5 02</td>
<td>All brown glass bottles and jars</td>
<td>Alcoholic and non-alcoholic drinks bottles/jars (e.g. beer, cider, milk, water, wine) Food jars (e.g. baby foods, coffee, jams, pickles, sauces) Medicine bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glass Container Packaging Other</td>
<td>G5 03</td>
<td>All coloured glass bottles and jars except brown and clear glass</td>
<td>Alcoholic and non-alcoholic drinks bottles/jars (e.g. beer, cider, milk, water, wine) Food jars (e.g. baby foods, coffee, jams, pickles, sauces) Medicine bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miscellaneous Packaging Glass</td>
<td>G5 04</td>
<td>All non-packaging glass</td>
<td>Cookware (e.g. pyrex, drinking glasses) Flat glass (e.g. table top, window, mirrors, reinforced, windscreens) Light bulbs (e.g. normal, fluorescent, energy saving) Mixed broken glass Television/ computer screens separated only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Categories</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Secondary Categories</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Typical Examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Textiles</strong></td>
<td>T6</td>
<td>Clothes</td>
<td>T6 01</td>
<td>Natural and man-made clothing items excluding shoes</td>
<td>Trousers; Skirts; Socks; Stockings; Tights; Underwear; Shirts; Blouses; Jumpers; Cardigans; Coats; Hats; Gloves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-clothing textiles</td>
<td>T6 02</td>
<td>Natural and man-made textiles and furnishings except clothes and shoes</td>
<td>Balls of wool; Blankets; Braids; Carpets; Clothes; Cords; Curtains; Household soft furnishings and upholstery; Mats; Pillow cases; Pillows; Rags; Ropes; Rugs; Sheets; Threads; Towels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metals</strong></td>
<td>M7</td>
<td>Ferrous Packaging</td>
<td>M7 01</td>
<td>Ferrous food, beverage and non-food cans and containers</td>
<td>Biscuit containers; Packaging for carbonated drinks, Fish, Pet food etc.; Shoe polish cans; Soft drinks; Soups; Sweets; Tinned food; Aerosols (deodorant, perfume, hairspray)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-ferrous Packaging</td>
<td>M7 02</td>
<td>All non-ferrous Cans and Containers and Aluminium Foils etc.</td>
<td>Aluminium foil sheets; Biscuits containers; Cake and pie containers; Carbonated drinks; Containers; Fish; Pet food; Shoe polish cans; Soft drinks; Soups; Sweets; Take away; Tinned food; Other food/non-food/ pet food containers; Aerosols (deodorant, perfume, hairspray)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miscellaneous Ferrous</td>
<td>M7 03</td>
<td>All ferrous items except food, beverage and non-food cans and containers</td>
<td>Bike parts; Building materials/DIY materials; Car parts; Cutlery; Keys; Licks; Metal shelves; Nails; Paper clips; Plumbing; Pots and pans; Radiators; Ring pulls; Safety pins; Screws; Tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miscellaneous Non-ferrous</td>
<td>M7 04</td>
<td>All non-ferrous items except Aluminium Cans and Containers and Aluminium Foils</td>
<td>Keys; Cutlery; Locks; Ring pulls; Tools; Car parts; Radiators; Metal shelves; Pots and pans; Screws; Nails; Building materials/DIY materials; Plumbing; Bike parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hazardous</strong></td>
<td>H8</td>
<td>Batteries/Accumulators</td>
<td>H8 01</td>
<td>All types of household and car batteries including rechargeable and non-rechargeable</td>
<td>Lead acid; Nickel cadmium; Other car and household batteries and accumulators (including rechargeable batteries)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miscellaneous hazardous</td>
<td>H8 02</td>
<td>All other potentially hazardous household type waste</td>
<td>Asbestos; Cooking oils; Fire extinguishers; Garden/household chemicals; Glues and solvents; Medicines; Methylated spirits; Mineral, synthetic and non-edible organic oils and fats and their filters; Motoring products; Paint products; Photo chemicals; Refrigerants; White spirits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waste</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complex</strong></td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>Composite/Complex</td>
<td>C9 01</td>
<td>Any complex/composite packaging that cannot be easily separated into its component materials and is therefore difficult to classify conventionally</td>
<td>Aluminium Foil-coated card, liquid containers e.g. milk; fruit juice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Products</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Packaging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Composite/Complex</td>
<td>C9 02</td>
<td>Any complex/composite item which is not packaging that cannot be easily separated into its component materials and is therefore difficult to classify conventionally</td>
<td>Appliance parts; Car parts; Engine parts; Sandals (multi-material only); Shoes (multi-material only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Categories</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Secondary Categories</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Typical Examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Products</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>Mixed WEEE</td>
<td>C9 03</td>
<td>Large Household Appliances Small Household Appliances IT and Telecommunications Equipment Lighting Equipment Toys Monitoring and control instruments</td>
<td>Air conditioners; Answering machines; Car racing sets; Carpet sweepers; Clocks; Clothes dryers; Coffee makers; Compact fluorescent lamps; Computers; Copiers; Copiers; Dishwashers; Drills; Electric knives; Electric stoves/hotplates; Electric toothbrushes; Electric trains; Electrical and Electronic Tools; Fax; Freezers; Fryers; Hair dryers; Hand held video game consoles; Heating appliances; Heating regulators/thermostats; High intensity discharge lamps; Irons; Laptops; Large cooling appliance; Low pressure sodium lamps; Microwaves; PCs; Printers; Refrigerators; Saws; Scales; Sewing machines; Shavers; Smoke detector; Straight fluorescent lamps; Telephones/Mobile phones; Telex; Toasters; Vacuum cleaners; Video games; Washing machines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert</td>
<td>IN10</td>
<td>Soil and Stones</td>
<td>IN10 01</td>
<td>Boulders; Bricks; Gravel; Pebbles; Sand; Soil; Stones</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Categories</td>
<td>U11</td>
<td>Nappies</td>
<td>U11 01</td>
<td>Children’s disposable nappies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care/Biological Wastes</td>
<td>U11 02</td>
<td>Household Medical Waste</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dressings Swabs Syringes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Categories</td>
<td>U11 03</td>
<td>Any other material that is difficult to classify under any other categories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines</td>
<td>F12</td>
<td>10mm sieved fraction</td>
<td>F12 01</td>
<td>Ashes Sand Small fragments &lt;10mm of all above categories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNEX II  STATISTICAL BACKGROUND

PART A  THEORY STATISTICS

Definitions

Confidence Interval:
An interval computed from sample values. Intervals so constructed will straddle the estimated parameter 100(1-α) of the time in repeated sampling. The quantity (1-α) is called the confidence level.

Confidence Level:
A probability associated with an confidence interval that express the probability that the interval will include the parameter under study.

Proportional Allocation:
An allocation procedure that partitions the sample size of the strata when using stratified random sampling.

Standard Deviation:
The standard deviation of a set of measurements $x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n$ is equal to the positive square root of the variance of the measurements.

Stratified Random Sample:
A sample obtained by separating the population elements into non overlapping groups, called strata, and then selecting a simple random sample within each stratum.

Variance:
The variance of set of n measurements $x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n$ is the average of the squares of the deviations of the measurements about their mean.

Standard Error:
The precision of an estimator is measured by its standard deviation; hence the standard deviation of an estimator is called standard error.

Population:
A finite or infinite collection of measurements or individuals that comprises the totality of all possible measurements within the context of a particular statistical study. It is the set representing all observations of interest to the sample collector.

Parent Population:
Here: Whole quantity of waste (e.g. daily household and commercial waste) in the survey area.

Sample:
Any subset of a population. Subset of measurements selected from the population of interest.

---

4 Mendenhall, Reinmuth, Beaver; Statistics for Management and Economics, 7th edition
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**Coefficient of Variation:**
A relative measure of variability in which the standard deviation is expressed as a percentage of the mean.

**Sampling Design:**
The sampling design or survey design specifies the method of collecting the sample.

**Element:** An element is an object on which measurement is taken.

**Sampling Units:**
Sampling units are non-overlapping collections of elements from the population. In some cases a sampling unit is an individual element.

**Description of the sample**

The following parameters are appropriate measures for the description of a sample:

**The number of sampling units:** \( n \)

The **mean** of the measured values is

\[
\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i
\]

**Variance of the sample:** The variance of set of \( n \) measurements \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) is the average of the squares of the deviations of the measurements about their mean.

\[
s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \quad \text{(equation 1)}
\]

**Standard deviation of the sample:** The standard deviation of a set of measurements \( x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n \) is equal to the positive square root of the variance of the measurements. The standard deviation is calculated by equation 2:

\[
s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad \text{(equation 2)}
\]

**Coefficient of variation of sample:** is a relative measure of variability in which the standard deviation is expressed as a percentage of the mean.

\[
\text{var coeff } (x_i) = \frac{s}{\bar{x}} \quad \text{(equation 3)}
\]
Inference and Measure of its Accuracy

The use of statistical procedures in the waste analysis situation has two objectives:

(1) the inference and

(2) a measure of its accuracy.

Inference

The objective of inferential statistics is to make predictions about specific parameters (mean, variance, coefficient of variance) of a parent population based on information contained in a sample from this population.

Measure of accuracy

A statistical problem would be incomplete without reference to a measure of the accuracy of inferential procedures.

In waste management usually interval estimators are employed to estimate a population parameter (please see example Table 10). When an interval estimator is employed the pair of numbers obtained from the estimator is called an interval estimate or confidence interval (bandwidth) for the parameter. The large number, which locates the upper end of the interval is called the upper confidence limit. Similarly, the number that locates the lower extreme of the interval is called the lower confidence limit.

The probability that a confidence interval will enclose the estimated parameter is called the confidence level.

The confidence level measures the proportion of samples that produce a confidence interval containing the population parameter. A good confidence interval is one that is as narrow as possible and has a confidence level near 1. The narrower the interval, the more exactly the estimated parameter is located. The larger the confidence level, the more confidence we have that a particular interval encloses the estimated parameter.

The confidence level gives a measure of the confidence one can place in the confidence limits constructed from the data contained in a sample. In that sense the width of an interval and its associated confidence level measure the accuracy of the confidence interval.

Larger samples provide more information to use in forming the interval estimate. Therefore, for a given confidence level the larger the sample the narrower will be the resulting confidence interval.

In the following the steps for the calculation of a so called relative confidence interval are described.

Coefficient of variation of the estimator: The coefficient of variation is to be divided by the square root of n. One obtains a relative measure of variation that describes the deviation of the sample proportion from the true proportion (in the parent population).
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\[ \text{var coeff } (\bar{X}) = \frac{s}{\bar{x} \sqrt{n}} \]  
(equation 4)

**Maximum allowance for random sampling error:**

The **maximum allowance for random sampling error** is a suitable measure to describe the uncertainty of estimation procedures, as calculated according to equation 5. The maximum allowance for random sampling error describes the bandwidth of a confidence interval at a certain confidence level (e.g. \(1-\alpha = 95\%\))

\[ \varepsilon_{\hat{\theta},r} = \frac{t_{a,n-1} \cdot \text{var coeff } (x_i)}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}} \]  
(equation 5)

- **\( t_{a,n-1} \):** Confidence coefficient (from tabulated- \( t \)-distribution with error probability \( \alpha \) and \( n-1 \) degrees of freedom)
- **\text{var coeff}(x_i):** Variation coefficient of single values from the sample
- **\( \hat{\theta} \):** estimate value for the wanted parameter in the parent population
- **\( n \):** Number of sampling units
- **\( N \):** number of survey units in the parent population

\[ \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}} \] : Correction of finiteness, giving evidence that at \( n \to N \) the sample error strives towards zero. For small samples from large parent populations the factor is \( \approx 1 \)

The maximum allowance for random sampling error \( \varepsilon_{\hat{\theta},r} \) is, as the estimation parameter, a random variable and approximately normally distributed for sample sizes larger but 30 sampling units. I.e. the expressed accuracy of the maximum allowance for random sampling error is afflicted with a certain probability of admittance, resp. error probability. This uncertainty is expressed by the factor \( t_{a,n-1} \) (confidence coefficient) in the equation 5. Usually it is aspired to reach error probabilities of \( \alpha \leq 5 \% \).

**Calculation of a confidence interval:**

The variance of the parent population \( \sigma^2 \) is usually unknown in the course of sample surveys. The variance of the parent population can approximately be estimated by the variance of the sample: \( \sigma^2 \approx s^2 \).

In this case the \( t \)-distribution as well as the corresponding confidence coefficients \( (t_{a,n-1}) \) have to be applied.

The following is a step-by-step procedure for calculating confidence intervals:

1. Calculate \( \bar{x} \) from the sample
2. Assuming \( \sigma \) is unknown, the population standard deviation must be estimated by finding \( s \) (sample standard deviation)
3. Estimate the coefficient of variation of the mean, utilising the following formula

\[ \text{var coeff}(\hat{X}) = \frac{s}{\bar{x} \sqrt{n}} \]

4. Determine the confidence coefficient (z-value) \( t_{\alpha,n-1} \) from table of t-distribution associated with the confidence level desired. Please use the z-values for two tailed questions. The table below gives an example for \( \alpha = 5\% \) and a two tailed question (shaded cells).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>df</th>
<th>Error probability (( \alpha )), One-Tailed Questions</th>
<th>Error probability (( \alpha )), Two-Tailed Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.078</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.886</td>
<td>2.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.638</td>
<td>2.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.533</td>
<td>2.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.476</td>
<td>2.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.440</td>
<td>1.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.415</td>
<td>1.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>1.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.383</td>
<td>1.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.372</td>
<td>1.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>1.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.356</td>
<td>1.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>1.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.345</td>
<td>1.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.341</td>
<td>1.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.337</td>
<td>1.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>1.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.330</td>
<td>1.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>1.729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.325</td>
<td>1.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.323</td>
<td>1.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.321</td>
<td>1.717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.319</td>
<td>1.714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.318</td>
<td>1.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.316</td>
<td>1.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>1.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.314</td>
<td>1.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.313</td>
<td>1.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td>1.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>1.697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.306</td>
<td>1.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>1.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td>1.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.298</td>
<td>1.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>1.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∞</td>
<td>1.282</td>
<td>1.645</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Calculate the confidence interval \( C.I. \), utilising the following formula:

\[
C.I. = \bar{x} \pm t_{\alpha,n-1} \cdot \sqrt{\text{var coeff} \left( \frac{X}{n} \right)} = \bar{x} \pm t_{\alpha,n-1} \cdot \text{var coeff} \left( \frac{\hat{X}}{n} \right)
\] (equation 6)
PART B  EXAMPLE FOR CALCULATION OF A CONFIDENCE INTERVAL

The following example shows how calculating a confidence interval can be utilised in waste analyses.

The data in the table below represent the paper/cardboard content of 24 sampling units (results of a commercial waste analysis). Each sampling unit had the volume of 1 m³.

Table 10  Content of Paper/Cardboard in Sampling Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Weight [kg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>53.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>26.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>19.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>21.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>33.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>24.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>43.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>11.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>19.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of the Sample

Number of sampling units: \( n = 24 \)

The mean of the measured values is
\[
\bar{x} = 22.13 \text{ kg}
\]

The variance of the measured values is
\[
s^2 = 130.52 \text{ kg}^2
\]

The standard deviation for the measured values is
\[
s = 11.42 \text{ kg}
\]

The coefficient of variation for the measured values is
\[
\text{var coeff} (x_i) = 51.62 \%
\]
Inference and measure of its accuracy

**Calculation of the confidence interval**

The following step-by-step procedure can be used for the calculation of the confidence interval, using the given data:

1. Calculate \( \bar{x} \) (mean) from the sample. 
   \[ \bar{x} = 22.13 \text{ kg} \]

2. As \( \sigma \) is unknown, the population standard deviation must be estimated by \( s \) (sample standard deviation) 
   \[ s = 11.42 \text{ kg} \]

3. Estimate the coefficient of variation of the mean, utilising the following formula
   \[ \text{var coeff } (\hat{X}) = \frac{\text{var coeff } (x)}{\sqrt{n}} = 10.54 \% \]

4. Determine the \( z \)-values (\( t_{a,n-1} \) from table of \( t \)-distribution, see Table 9) associated with the confidence level desired (here: \( 1-\alpha = 95\% \)).
   \[ t_{a,n-1} = 2.07 \] (for: \( \alpha = 0.05 \), two-tailed, resp. \( 1-\alpha = 0.95 \); \( n-1 = 23 \))

5. Calculate the confidence interval, utilising the following formula:
   \[ C.I. = \bar{x} \pm t_{a,n-1} \cdot \text{var coeff } (\hat{X}) \]
   \[ C.I. = 22.13 \text{ kg} \pm (2.07 \cdot 10.54\%) = 22.13 \text{ kg} \pm 21.8\% = 22.13 \text{ kg} \pm 4.82 \text{ kg} \]

So it is expected that the population mean, \( \mu \), is contained in the range from 17.31 kg and 26.95 kg. Intervals constructed in this manner will contain the true value of \( \mu \) 95 percent of the time.

**Conclusions and recommendations**

It is **recommended** that as a measure of statistical accuracy of results a relative confidence interval shall be employed with a **confidence level of 95%** and the relative interval for the predominant categories organic, paper, glass, plastic, metal and fines of **20%**.

This is a necessary parameter to calculate the number of sampling units for the waste analysis.

**PART C DETERMINATION OF NUMBER OF SAMPLING UNITS AND SAMPLE SIZE**

The necessary number of sampling units depends on:

- the demand on the aspired accuracy of the results (expressed by the maximum allowance for random sampling error \( \varepsilon_{R,S} \))
• the demand on the confidence level (expressed by the confidence coefficient (z-value) of the t-distribution $t_{a_n-1}$)
• variance of the population (expressed by the coefficient of variation $\text{var coeff} (x_i)$)
• sample proportion $f = \frac{n}{N}$

The necessary number of sampling units can be determined by equation (7)

$$n = \left( \frac{t_{a_n-1} \cdot \text{var coeff} (x_i)}{\epsilon_{\delta,r}} \right)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad f = \frac{n}{N} < 0.05 \quad \text{(equation 7)}$$

While the demand on accuracy and the confidence level are values that can be stipulated according to the aspired accuracy of the survey, the variance of the parent population constitutes a kind of "natural constant" which must be determined by preliminary surveys or can be taken from comparable surveys in the past.

Table 11 shows the calculated (equation 7) necessary number of sampling units for different natural variation coefficients and different levels of relative accuracy of results (maximum random sampling error); the confidence level is 95 %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>natural variation coefficient (Gauge for variation in parent population)</th>
<th>necessary number of sampling units n with maximum allowance for random sampling error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35%</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45%</td>
<td>1245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55%</td>
<td>1859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>2213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>3012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>3934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>4979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>6147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120%</td>
<td>8851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140%</td>
<td>12047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160%</td>
<td>15735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200%</td>
<td>24586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the sampling ratio $f$ is small, i.e. the number of elements in the parent population is large in proportion to the number of sampling units, the necessary number of sampling units is particularly dependent on so-called natural variation coefficients.
Example:

The natural variation coefficient for household waste is about 30%. The aspired accuracy of the result (expressed by the maximum allowance for random sampling error \( \varepsilon_{\theta,\varepsilon} \)) is ± 10%. Then for a confidence interval at a 95% level the necessary number of sampling units \( n \) can be calculated as follows.

\[
n = \left( \frac{1.96 \cdot 0.3}{0.1} \right)^2 \approx 35 \text{ (see Table 11)}
\]

Similarly the necessary number of sampling units for a certain waste component for example 20% accuracy for plastics can be calculated (if the variation coefficient for plastic is known or can be estimated).

PART D STRATIFIED RANDOM SAMPLING PROCEDURE

If a stratified random sampling procedure has been used the results for each stratum have to be disclosed separately. For the calculation of the overall result the results of the single strata have to be weighed and put in the right relation, according to its portion in the parent population. **The total result is the weighed mean of the single stratum results.**

\[
\hat{X} = \bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{L} x_h \frac{N_h}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{L} \bar{x}_h N_h = \sum_{h=1}^{L} \bar{x}_h \left( \frac{N_h}{N} \right)
\]

\( h = 1,2,\ldots,L \) (number of strata) (equation 8)

The standard deviation \( s \) for the estimator \( \hat{X} \) of the total average can be calculated according to the following formula

\[
s_{\hat{X}} = \sqrt{\sum_{h=1}^{L} \left( \frac{N_h}{N} \right)^2 s_h^2 \left( 1 - \frac{n_h}{N_h} \right)}
\]

or

\[
s_{\hat{X}} = \sqrt{\sum_{h=1}^{L} \left( \frac{N_h}{N} \right)^2 s_h^2 \left( \frac{n_h}{N_h} \right) \text{ for } n_h < 0.05}
\]

\( s_h^2 \) is the size of the overall population.

The confidence interval \( C.I. \) can be calculated according to

\[
C.I. = \bar{x} \pm 1.96 \cdot s_{\hat{X}}
\]

\( \hat{X} \)
ANNEX III HEALTH AND SAFETY

The following health and safety guidelines are intended as an indicative guide based on research of previous waste analyses and the experiences of the Partner Cities during the Demonstration phase of the SWA Tool Methodology. 

NOTE: These guidelines DO NOT substitute statutory health and safety rules and regulations in the respective country of a municipality undertaking a waste analysis.

Potential hazards which generally occur during the sampling, sorting and analysis stages of a waste analysis include:

- Cuts and punctures from handling hazardous materials (needles, broken glass, razor blades, aerosol cans, chemicals, etc.)
- Slipping and falling
- Heats stress and fatigue
- Traffic or heavy equipment movement
- Noise exposure
- Household hazardous wastes
- Medical wastes and sharps
- Bloody objects
- Hypodermic needles

Minimum Safety Equipment and Clothing for the sampling and sorting personnel should include:

- Hi-visibility jackets
- First aid kit
- Fire extinguisher
- Overalls (splash proof and sharp proof)
- Sharp proof gloves
- Steel toe capped boots
- Face mask
- Full face goggles/eye protection
- Safety helmet
- Anti bacterial hand/face wash
- Enzyme based deodorisers
- Ear defenders
- Site specific safety plan
- Portable telephone
- Eye wash kit
- Moist, disposable towelettes

---

5 This chapter is based on the experience of the S.W.A.-Project Team and on the following waste analysis guidelines: CIWMB (CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD) (1999): Statewide Waste Characterization Study: Results and Final Report, (appendix C.2 guidelines Governing Health and Safety Measures C-21-C32)

Wales protocol

SÄCHSISCHES LANDESAMT FÜR UMWELT UND GEOLOGIE (1998): Richtlinie zur einheitlichen Abfallanalytik in Sachsen, Materialien zur Abfallwirtschaft, (chapter 4.1 pp. 7-8)
Supervisory Personnel Responsibilities

Supervisory personnel are responsible for the following:

1. Familiarity with and provision of relevant local emergency services telephone numbers.

2. Ensure all site personnel have received, and documented training on the following health and safety issues as a minimum:
   - Handling (lifting, transporting, opening where necessary) different kinds of containers (plastic sacks, wheeled bins 120/240 l, container from 1100 to 3300 l)
   - Eating, smoking or drinking during the sorting activities is strongly prohibited. Plenty of fluids (e.g., water, sport drinks etc..) and single use, disposable cups must be available at any times in a separated area. Hands and faces must be washed before eating and drinking.
   - The sorting personnel must wear sharp proof gloves, full face goggles or safety glasses with splash shields, a face mask, disposable splash proof and sharp proof overalls and use safety boots.
   - The sorting staff must be able to identify hazardous wastes. If any hazardous wastes are detected, the supervisor shall be notified.
   - Use of relevant electrical equipment in the working area
   - Instructions for obtaining first aid
   - Dealing with any serious accident or other emergency situation, such as a fire in the working area
   - At the end of each shift, removing all disposable clothing into a plastic trash bag, and place the bag into a container. All sorters shall shower at the end of each shift.
   - The sorted waste shall be stored separately in closed containers or be deposited daily. The ground of the sorting area shall be cleaned mechanically at least once per day.

3. In addition the supervisors must ensure the following:
   - Protective equipment is properly maintained and inspected and properly used by all.
   - collection and sorting staff have read understood and signed the health and safety policy containing the issues mentioned under this chapter of the guideline.
   - safety guidelines are followed by the sorting and collection personnel.
Medical Aspects

All waste sorting personnel shall be in good physical condition and shall not be sensitive to odours and dust.

It is recommended that the contractor of a waste analysis shall ensure that all staff, including support staff, who will be working on any of the collection or sorting activities described in this chapter have received the following injections: **Tetanus, Polio, Hepatitis A, Hepatitis B**
ANNEX IV  COST OF WASTE ANALYSES

The selection and determination of the most appropriate analysis design partly depends on the potential costs. Research conducted as part of this project has revealed that there is little published information regarding the costs of various waste analysis designs. The actual costs of a waste analysis for a particular municipality are subject to a number of varying factors such as costs and availability of personnel and equipment, the need for training, health and safety costs, and the waste analysis design itself particularly the overall sample size and number of sampling units. Therefore this section provides indicative guidelines only, regarding typical cost-issues that require consideration prior to undertaking a waste analysis program.

Research of past waste analyses and the experience of the Partner Cities during the demonstration phase of this project have highlighted the following relevant cost issues:

1. **Costs of waste analyses** investigated for this report vary widely which may be due to differing

   - Information needs
   - Levels of sample acquisition
   - Waste streams investigated or
   - Levels of statistical accuracy.

Waste analyses can generally be divided into four stages to describe their costs:

   - Planning the Analysis
   - Selecting Samples
   - Sorting procedure
   - Interpretation of Results

2. The **costs of planning the analysis** and the **interpretation of results** are mainly driven by the information needs of the client. The more information required the higher the costs.

   The data provided by the partners about man-hours for planning the analysis varied within a range between 40 and 200 man-hours.

3. The **costs of sampling** depend on the number of samples that are selected and the distance between the sampling locations. The **costs of sorting** depends on the amount of waste which has to be sorted which correlates with the number of samples.

   Experience shows that it takes approximately **6 man-hours to sort 100 kg waste manually.**
4. The **number of samples** depends on the statistical accuracy which shall be achieved and on the sampling design.

Generally it can be stated that the higher the statistical accuracy which should be achieved the more samples are required and the higher the costs. Furthermore a stratified random sampling design can reduce the number or samples required to achieve a certain statistical accuracy compared to a pure random sampling design and herewith reduces costs.

5. Costs of sampling may be higher if samples are **selected from the container** than taken from the truck. On the other hand much useful information is lost if samples are taken from the truck.

The following table presents an overview of cost issues which shall be considered before planning an analysis.
## Table 12 Summary of Cost Estimation of Waste Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Driver</th>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Sampling</th>
<th>Sorting</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The <strong>cost driver</strong> are the information needs: (Stratification for planning of waste management, Moisture Content, Density of Waste, Statistical Accuracy of Information etc.)</td>
<td>The <strong>cost driver</strong> in this phase is the number of samples which must be taken and the distance between them (if taken from the container). The costs for personnel clearly depend on the number of samples. The costs for equipment depend only partially on the number of samples.</td>
<td>The <strong>cost driver</strong> is the quantity of waste sorted (depending on the number of samples) and additional information needs (moisture content, density). The costs for personnel clearly depend on the numbers of samples. The costs for equipment are partially depend on the number of samples.</td>
<td>The <strong>cost driver</strong> are the information needs (see planning)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Cost</td>
<td>Highly qualified personnel has to do the background research and the preparation for the analysis in cooperation with the client: The data provided by the partners about <strong>man-hours</strong> for planning the analysis varied within a range between 40 and 200 man-hours.</td>
<td>Middle qualified personnel oversees the sampling and the record information. Low qualified personnel either collect sacks from households and place them on a truck or load wheeled bins on Round Collection Vehicles. If the samples are taken from the truck a tricar driver has to recoil and mix the waste in a plane surface. The supervisor checks the process. <strong>Experience shows that it takes approximately 6 man-hours to sort 100 kg waste manually.</strong></td>
<td>Middle qualified personnel supervises the sampling and sorting procedure. Low qualified personnel mix the waste if it is taken from the truck sort the waste according to pre-specified waste categories.</td>
<td>Highly qualified personnel has to check the plausibility of results and has to interpret of results according to the information needs of the client and the results of the sorting process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Necessary Equipment is a Computer. Costs of Equipment are low</td>
<td>Open sided truck for refuse sacks, Refuse Collection Vehicle, Heavy Duty Bags, Litter pickers, Tarpaulin with rope, Heavy duty brushes, Shovels, Plastic Ties, Plastic Information Tags, Labels, Stationary Pad/Pens/Markers, Bacterial Hand and Face Wash, Clipboard, Box File, Labels/Data forms, Calculator, Camera(digital), Mobile phone with emergency contact numbers.</td>
<td>Litter pickers, Sweeping Brushes, Large table, Plastic Sheeting for table, Sharps Box, Shovels, JCB or similar for moving/mixing waste, Bins of different sizes, Heavy duty bags, Tote bags, Tarpaulin, Magnets, Anti bacterial hand/face wash, Enzyme based deodorisers Weighing platform, Data forms, Clipboard, Pens/pencils, Box file, Calculator, Sticky Labels, Camera(digital)</td>
<td>Computer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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